The influence of soil maintenance systems on vigor, quantity and production quality at some grape varieties for wine

Dobrei A.,1*, Ghiță Alina1, Cristea T.,1 Sfetcu Andreea1

¹USAMVB Timisoara, Facultatea de Horticultura si Silvicultura

Abstract Surveys were conducted in the vineyard-Buzias Silagiu in the years 2006-2008 and included the influence of soil maintenance systems on total annual growth and maturing, quantitative and qualitative production in the varieties: Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot noir, Italian Riesling, Muscat Ottonel and Sauvignon blanc.

Maintenance of soil was done by the classical ploughed field variant but also by herbiciding, plant cultivation for green fertilizer and permanent grassing. During the growing season there have been several observations and separate determinations for each variety and variations on logs vigor, total and matured annual growth, production results, sugar content, acidity and the glucose-acidimetric index was calculated.

The maintenance of soil had a major influence on the quantity of production and a less significant influence on its quality. In all varieties the highest production was obtained for the maintenance of soil through cultivation of plants for green fertilizer, while the highest sugar content was recorded all varieties in the variant of ploughed field.

Cultivation of vines is intensive and occupies land for a long period of time, of at least 30-35 years and requires an annual volume of work on the soil. For these reasons the majority of vineyards soils are anthropogenic soils, poorly structured, with low humus content and a reduced capillary porosity. On such lands, the proper execution of the land works at the best moment is very important. By working the soil, a proper bond between capillary porosity and the non capillary one is created, a balance between soil gases with oxygen in proportions of 8-12% is ensure, all categories of soil fertilizer are incorporated in, stimulates the activity of microorganisms, favoring accumulation of heat in the soil and weeds are combated.

Quantity and quality are important characteristics of production in case of varieties of grapes for wine; they are influenced by genetic factors and the climate conditions of each year and the culture technology.

Regarding technology, the choice is very important for maintenance of soil as taking into account the year's climate conditions; this can have positive or negative effects on quantity and quality of grape production.

Key words

grapes,maintenance systems, quality

Biological Material and Method

Researches have been conducted in the period 2006-2008 in the vineyard-Buzias Silagiu and targeted several varieties of grapes for wine: Cabernet Sauvignon, Pinot noir, Italian Riesling, Ottonel Muscat and Sauvignon Blanc.

Experimental variants were different in the maintenance of soil: classic ploughed field, herbiciding, the cultivation of plants and green fertilizer, permanent greening, holding as witness the soil maintenance system of ploughed field.

The plantation in which researches have been carried out is a young one in its period of progressive maturity and the practiced type of cutting cord was Cazenave, planting distances used were 2.2 m between rows and 1m between the vines on a row.

During vegetation, observations were made on the vigor and determination logs, production quantity and quality. Vigor of logs was assessed based on total growth and maturity. The experience has been positioned according to randomized blocks, and the method of statistic calculation represented the analysis of the variance.

^{*}Coresponding author. Email: alin1969tmro@yahoo.com

Results and Discussions

The maintenance of the soil used had positive influences on vigor of logs all varieties studied, total and maturated annual growth being superior to the witness in all experimental variants. The superior

maturated growth in the case of experimental variants as compared to the witness shows better resistance of the logs at negative winter temperatures, an important fact in the case of very favorable weather conditions such as those in recent years.

| Maintenance system Ploughed field (C) | Total (m/vine) | Matu | ıred | difference | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| • | | | | | Significance |
| Ploughed field (C) | | m/vine | % of total | compared to control (Total) | Significance |
| | 10,2 | 7,03 | 69 | - | - |
| Herbiciding | 11,6 | 7,54 | 65 | + 1,4 | * |
| Green fertilizer | 14,2 | 9,08 | 64 | + 4,0 | ** |
| Permanent greening | 12,3 | 7,74 | 63 | + 2,1 | * |
| Average | 12,075 | 7,847 | 65,25 | + 1,8 | * |
| Ploughed field (C) | ,7 | 4,90 | 86 | - | - |
| Herbiciding | 6,5 | 5,46 | 84 | + 0,8 | * |
| Green fertilizer | 7,9 | 6,24 | 79 | + 2,2 | ** |
| Permanent greening | 6,6 | 5,61 | 85 | + 0,9 | * |
| Average | 6,675 | 5,552 | 83,5 | +0,9 | * |
| Ploughed field (C) | 8,6 | 6,53 | 76 | - | - |
| • | 9,8 | 7,15 | 73 | +1,2 | * |
| Green fertilizer | 11,2 | 7,61 | 68 | | ** |
| Permanent greening | | | 71 | - | * |
| | 9,875 | 7,077 | 72 | +1,2 | * |
| | 6,8 | 5,3 | 78 | - | - |
| ` ` ' | 7,2 | 5,4 | 75 | + 0,4 | - |
| Green fertilizer | | | 70 | · · | ** |
| Permanent greening | | | 73 | | - |
| 8 8 | | | 74 | | _ |
| • | · | | 74 | - | - |
| Herbiciding | 8,6 | 6,02 | 70 | + 0,7 | - |
| Green fertilizer | 9,8 | 6,66 | 68 | + 1,9 | ** |
| Permanent greening | 9,0 | 6,48 | | + 1,1 | * |
| Average | | | 71 | | - |
| | | | | | |
| DL- Pinot noir DL- Riesling Italian DL- Muscat Ottonel | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| _ | Green fertilizer Permanent greening Average Ploughed field (C) Herbiciding Green fertilizer Permanent greening Average Ploughed field (C) Herbiciding Green fertilizer Permanent greening Average Ploughed field (C) Herbiciding (C) Green fertilizer Permanent greening Average Ploughed field (C) Herbiciding (C) Green fertilizer Permanent greening Average Ploughed field (C) Herbiciding Green fertilizer Permanent greening Average - Cabernet Sauvignon - Pinot noir - Riesling Italian | Green fertilizer 14,2 Permanent greening 12,3 Average 12,075 Ploughed field (C) ,7 Herbiciding 6,5 Green fertilizer 7,9 Permanent greening 6,6 Average 6,675 Ploughed field (C) 8,6 Herbiciding 9,8 Green fertilizer 11,2 Permanent greening 9,9 Average 9,875 Ploughed field (C) 6,8 Herbiciding (C) 7,2 Green fertilizer 8,9 Permanent greening 7,4 Average 7,575 Ploughed field (C) 7,9 Herbiciding 8,6 Green fertilizer 9,8 Permanent greening 9,0 Average 8,825 - Cabernet Sauvignon -5% = 0,74 - Riesling Italian -5% = 0,93 - Muscat Ottonel -5% = 0,82 | Green fertilizer 14,2 9,08 Permanent greening 12,3 7,74 Average 12,075 7,847 Ploughed field (C) ,7 4,90 Herbiciding 6,5 5,46 Green fertilizer 7,9 6,24 Permanent greening 6,6 5,61 Average 6,675 5,552 Ploughed field (C) 8,6 6,53 Herbiciding 9,8 7,15 Green fertilizer 11,2 7,61 Permanent greening 9,9 7,02 Average 9,875 7,077 Ploughed field (C) 6,8 5,3 Herbiciding (C) 7,2 5,4 Green fertilizer 8,9 6,23 Permanent greening 7,4 5,4 Average 7,575 5,84 Ploughed field (C) 7,9 5,84 Herbiciding 8,6 6,02 Green fertilizer 9,8 6,66 Permanent greening | Green fertilizer 14,2 9,08 64 Permanent greening 12,3 7,74 63 Average 12,075 7,847 65,25 Ploughed field (C) ,7 4,90 86 Herbiciding 6,5 5,46 84 Green fertilizer 7,9 6,24 79 Permanent greening 6,6 5,61 85 Average 6,675 5,552 83,5 Ploughed field (C) 8,6 6,53 76 Herbiciding 9,8 7,15 73 Green fertilizer 11,2 7,61 68 Permanent greening 9,9 7,02 71 Average 9,875 7,077 72 Ploughed field (C) 6,8 5,3 78 Herbiciding (C) 7,2 5,4 75 Green fertilizer 8,9 6,23 70 Permanent greening 7,4 5,4 73 Average 7,575 5,582 | Green fertilizer 14,2 9,08 64 + 4,0 Permanent greening 12,3 7,74 63 + 2,1 Average 12,075 7,847 65,25 + 1,8 Ploughed field (C) ,7 4,90 86 - Herbiciding 6,5 5,46 84 + 0,8 Green fertilizer 7,9 6,24 79 + 2,2 Permanent greening 6,6 5,61 85 + 0,9 Average 6,675 5,552 83,5 + 0,9 Ploughed field (C) 8,6 6,53 76 - Herbiciding 9,8 7,15 73 + 1,2 Green fertilizer 11,2 7,61 68 + 2,6 Permanent greening 9,875 7,077 72 + 1,2 Ploughed field (C) 6,8 5,3 78 - Herbiciding (C) 7,2 5,4 75 + 0,4 Green fertilizer 8,9 6,23 70 |

Productions obtained on the cycle of research have been influenced by climatic conditions less favorable to vines, especially in 2006 which led to the achievement of lower yields, as potential varieties investigated.

The highest values of production were obtained in all varieties in the variant of soil maintenance through the cultivation of plants for green fertilizer, which has registered differenced from the witness of 599 kg / he in variety Sauvignon blanc, 516 kg / he to Italian Riesling and 489 and kg / he in Muscat Ottonel. The variant that registered lower values to the witness has been the one of soil maintenance through permanent herbiciding to all studied varieties.

| | | Production | | | The | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|----------------------------------|------|---|------------------------------------|
| Variation | Maintenance system | Kg/vine | Kg/ha | % | difference compared to control (kg/ha) | Significance |
| Cabernet Sauvignon | Ploughed field (C) | 1,64 | 7455 | 100 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 1,67 | 7619 | 102 | + 164 | - |
| | Green fertilizer | 1,74 | 7913 | 106 | + 458 | ** |
| | Permanent greening | 1,61 | 7319 | 98 | - 136 | - |
| | Average | 1,67 | 7576 | 102 | - | - |
| | Ploughed field (C) | 1,45 | 6603 | 100 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 1,51 | 6852 | 104 | + 249 | * |
| Pinot Noir | Green fertilizer | 1,56 | 7069 | 107 | + 466 | ** |
| Pinot Noir | Permanent greening | 1,41 | 6399 | 97 | - 204 | 0 |
| | Average | 1,48 | 6731 | 102 | - | - |
| Riesling Italan | Ploughed field (C) | 1,99 | 9051 | 100 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 2,05 | 9321 | 103 | + 270 | * |
| | Green fertilizer | 2,10 | 9567 | 106 | + 516 | ** |
| | Permanent greening | 1,95 | 8876 | 98 | - 175 | - |
| | Average | 2,02 | 9204 | 102 | - | - |
| | Ploughed field (C) | 1,77 | 8029 | 100 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 1,81 | 8250 | 103 | + 221 | * |
| Muscat | Green fertilizer | 1,89 | 8518 | 106 | + 489 | ** |
| Ottonel | Permanent greening | 1,72 | 7824 | 97 | - 205 | 0 |
| | Average | 1,79 | 8155 | 102 | - | - |
| | Ploughed field (C) | 1,89 | 8615 | 100 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 1,96 | 8949 | 104 | + 334 | * |
| Sauvignon | Green fertilizer | 2,03 | 9214 | 107 | + 599 | ** |
| Blanc | Permanent greening | 1,87 | 8490 | 98 | - 125 | - |
| | Average | 1,94 | 8817 | 102 | - | 0.10/ |
| | DL- Caberr DL- Pinot r DL- Rieslir | | -5%= 224 -5% =198 -5% =235 | | 416 =372 =472 | 0,1%= 759 0,1%=685 0,1%= 803 |
| | DL- KIESIII | 15 11411411 | -5/0-255 | 1/0- | 7/4 | 0,1/0-003 |

DL- Muscat Ottonel -5% =201 0.1% = 6981% = 385DL- Sauvignon blanc -5% =215 1% = 4200,1%=765

Regarding the influence of the soil maintenance systems of on the quality of production, this was less obvious, all variants have lower values as compared to the witness, but without being surpassed from a statistic point of view. On average, the lowest in sugar

Conclusions

Vineyards are usually placed on land with a lower natural fertility, considered unsuitable for most crops. On this land is very important to run in a rational way the soil works.

In recent years the general trend in winemaking is to replace the classic maintenance of content was recorded in a maintenance of soil by cultivating green plants, the differences from witness ploughed field ranging from - 4.66 at Sauvignon blanc variety and -7.34 at Pinot noir variety.

alternative systems of land with less energy that have a favorable impact on the environment without negative influence on the quantity and quality of crops.

All tested alternatives variants have proven to be viable, standing out in particular the variant of soil maintenance through cultivation of plants for green fertilizer which has obtained the best results.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Table 3} \\ \text{The influence of soil maintenance systems on the production quality at some wine grape variations, the} \\ \text{average of the years 2006-2008} \end{array}$

| | | | Production qual | Difference as | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| Variation | Maintenance system | Sugar (g/l) | Acidity (g/l H ₂ SO ₄) | Glucose- acidimetric index | compaed to witness (sugar g/l) | Significance |
| Cabernet Sauvignon | Ploughed field (C) | 192,33 | 4,5 | 42,74 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 188,33 | 4,7 | 40,07 | -4 | - |
| | Green fertilizer | 186 | 4,8 | 38,75 | -6,33 | 0 |
| | Permanent greening | 187,66 | 4,6 | 40,79 | -4,67 | - |
| | Average | 188,58 | 4,65 | 40,58 | -3,75 | - |
| | Ploughed field (C) | 198 | 4,5 | 44,00 | - | - |
| ļ | Herbiciding | 195,33 | 4,6 | 42,46 | -2,67 | - |
| Pinot | Green fertilizer | 190,66 | 4,7 | 40,56 | -7,34 | - |
| Noir | Permanent greening | 192,66 | 4,7 | 40,99 | -5,34 | - |
| | Average | 194,16 | 4,62 | 42,00 | -3,84 | - |
| Riesling italian | Ploughed field (C) | 190 | 4,7 | 40,42 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 188 | 4,9 | 38,36 | -2 | - |
| | Green fertilizer | 184,66 | 5,1 | 36,20 | -5,34 | - |
| | Permanent greening | 187 | 4,9 | 38,16 | -3 | - |
| | Average | 187,41 | 4,9 | 38,28 | -2,59 | - |
| | Ploughed field (C) | 191 | 3,4 | 56,17 | - | - |
| | Herbiciding | 188 | 3,6 | 52,22 | -3 | - |
| Muscat | Green fertilizer | 184 | 3,7 | 49,72 | -7 | 0 |
| Ottonel | Permanent greening | 186 | 3,6 | 51,66 | -5 | - |
| | Average | 187,25 | 3,57 | 52,44 | -3,75 | - |
| | Ploughed field (C) | 193,66 | 4,3 | 45,03 | - | - |
| Sauvignon blanc | Herbiciding | 191 | 4,5 | 42,44 | -2,66 | - |
| | Green fertilizer | 189 | 4,5 | 42 | -4,66 | - |
| | Permanent greening | 190,33 | 4,5 | 42,29 | -3,33 | - |
| | Average | 190,99 | 4,45 | 42,94 | -2,67 | - |
| | DL- Cabernet S DL- Pinot noir DL- Riesling I | -5% talian -5% | $\frac{7}{6} = 7.5$ $\frac{7}{6} = 5.74$ | 1%= 11,17 1% =13,20 1% =9,23 | 0,1%=19,34 0,1%=23,45 0,1%=17,21 | |
| | DL- Muscat Or DL- Sauvignor | | % =6,15 % =6,75 | 1% =10,75 1% =12,3 | 0,1%= 18,90 0,1%=20,17 | |

References

- 1. Dobrei A., Rotaru Liliana, Morelli S., 2008 Ampelografie, Ed. Solness, Timişoara
- 2. Dobrei A., Sala F., Kociş Elisabeta, Savescu Iasmina, 2008 Soil maintenance systems influence upon yield and quality in case of some vine varieties in Buzias-Silagiu
- viticultural center conditions, Lucrări științifice, Facultatea de Agricultură, pag. 59, vol.40, U.S.A.M.V.B.T., Ed. Agroprint, Timișoara
- 3. Dobrei A., Rotaru Liliana, Mustea M., 2005 Cultura viței de vie, Ed. Solness, Timișoara.